arXiv:math/0406041v1 [math.AP] 2 Jun 2004

Instability results for the damped wave equation in unbounded domains

P. Freitas and D. Krejčiřík*

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail: *pfreitas@math.ist.utl.pt, dkrej@math.ist.utl.pt*

June 2, 2004

Abstract

We extend some previous results for the damped wave equation in bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^d$ to the unbounded case. In particular, we show that if the damping term is of the form αa with bounded a taking on negative values on a set of positive measure, then there will always exist unbounded solutions for sufficiently large positive α.

In order to prove these results, we generalize some existing results on the asymptotic behaviour of eigencurves of one-parameter families of Schrödinger operators to the unbounded case, which we believe to be of interest in their own right.

---

[*] On leave from *Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, 250 68 Řež near Prague, Czech Republic.*

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (bounded or unbounded), with $d \geq 1$, and let S_0 denote the self-adjoint operator generated on $L^2(\Omega)$ by the differential expression $\mathfrak{S}_0 := -\Delta + b$, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions, where b is a real-valued measurable function on Ω; s_0 will denote the associated quadratic form. In this paper, we are interested in the instability of the solutions $t \mapsto \psi(t, \cdot) \in C^0\big([0,\infty); D(S_0)\big) \cap C^1\big([0,\infty); D(s_0)\big) \cap C^2\big([0,\infty); L^2(\Omega)\big)$ of the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{tt} + \alpha\, a\, \psi_t + S_0\psi = 0\,, \\ \qquad\qquad \psi(0,\cdot) = \phi_1 \in D(S_0)\,, \\ \qquad\qquad \psi_t(0,\cdot) = \phi_2 \in D(s_0)\,, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a parameter (not necessarilly small) and a is a real-valued measurable function on Ω.

In the case where the damping αa remains non-negative and bounded, the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (1) is well understood [23]. However, there are situations for which this will not be the case and the damping term will change sign, precluding the usage of energy methods and other tools which rely on the positivity of the functional

$$\int_\Omega a(x)\, |u(x)|^2\, dx\,.$$

Such a situation might arise, for instance, when linearizing semilinear damped wave equations around a stationary solution – see, for instance [9]. Because of the change in sign, it is not clear what will now happen to the stability of these solutions.

In 1991 Chen *et al.* [6] conjectured that for bounded intervals and under certain extra conditions on the damping the trivial solution of (1) would remain stable. This was disproved in 1996 by the first author who showed that in the case of bounded Ω in $\mathbb{R}^d$ this sign-changing condition is sufficient to cause the existence of unbounded solutions of (1), provided that the L^∞-norm of the damping is large enough [11]. Heuristically, this behaviour can be understood from the fact that, when a changes sign, as the parameter α increases equation (1) (formally) approaches a backward–forward heat equation and thus one does expect the appearance of points in the spectrum on the positive side of the real axis. On the other hand, and still at the heuristic level, note that while for bounded domains the result is not unexpected from the point of view of geometric optic rays either, for unbounded domains this is not as clear. Think, for instance, of the case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and assume that $a(x)$ is negative inside the unit ball, positive outside, and goes to zero as $|x|$ goes to infinity. Then Theorem 1 below still gives that for large enough α the trivial solution of (1) becomes unstable.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend the results for the bounded case to unbounded domains and, in fact, the idea behind the results given here is the same as that used in [11]. The key point is the observation (*cf* Lemma 2) that it is possible to obtain information on the real part of the spectrum of the damped wave equation operator by studying some spectral properties of the one-parameter family of self-adjoint Schrödinger operator S_μ generated on $L^2(\Omega)$ by the differential expression

$$\mathfrak{S}_\mu := -\Delta + b + \mu\, a\,, \tag{2}$$

where μ is a real parameter. However, the situation now becomes more complex due to the presence of essential spectrum, and, in particular, requires the extension of some results for S_μ to this setting which we believe to be interesting in their own right – see Appendix A.

To state the main instability result, we now need to introduce some notation and basic assumptions. Throughout the paper, we assume that the damping coefficient a is bounded and that the potential b is bounded from below, does not have local singularities but can grow at infinity, namely,

$\langle \mathrm{H1}\rangle$ $\quad a \in L^\infty(\Omega),\ \ b \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ *and* $\ b_{\min} := \operatorname{ess\,inf} b > -\infty\,.$

We also use the notation

$$a_{\min} := \operatorname{ess\,inf} a \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_{\max} := \operatorname{ess\,sup} a\,.$$

Under the stated assumptions, the initial value problem (1) has a unique solution (*cf* Corollary 2 in Appendix B). The main result of the paper implies that if the damping a is sign-changing then there are initial conditions of (1) for which the corresponding solution are unbounded for sufficiently large α.

Theorem 1. *Assume* $\langle \mathrm{H1}\rangle$*. If* $a_{\min} < 0$*, then there exists* $\alpha_0 > 0$ *such that the system* (1) *is unstable for all* $\alpha > \alpha_0$*.*

The instability property is obtained by studying a spectral problem for a non-self-adjoint operator A_α generated by the matrix-differential expression

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\mathfrak{S}_0 & -\alpha\, a \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

which appears in a first-order evolution equation (*cf* (7)) equivalent to (1), and proving that under these conditions there are positive points in its spectrum (Theorem 2). If the point in the spectrum is an eigenvalue, then this result shows that there are initial conditions of (1) for which the corresponding solution grows exponentially. If the point belongs to the essential spectrum, then Theorem 1 follows as a consequence of the global instability property (GI-property) for a local semi-dynamical system associated with (1), *cf* [20]. In order to apply the last result, we need to ensure that A_α generates a C_0-semigroup (*cf* Appendix B).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the preliminary Section 2, we define properly the operators S_μ and A_α, and state some basic properties. The real spectrum of A_α is investigated in Section 3, where we also prove Theorem 2 which implies the instability result of Theorem 1. Section 4 is devoted to a more precise discussion of the real essential spectrum of A_α in unbounded domains if the damping a is asymptotically constant; we also discuss there the relation between the essential spectrum and the form of Ω or the behaviour of b. In Section 5, we discuss the application of the results obtained to the semilinear case, and consider some open questions. The paper is concluded by two appendices, where we establish the asymptotics of eigenvalues of S_μ below the essential spectrum for large $|\mu|$ and prove the C_0-semigroup property of A_α.

Given a closed operator A in a Hilbert space, we denote by $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(A)$, $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(A)$, $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A)$, repectively, the spectrum, the point spectrum, the discrete spectrum and the essential spectrum of A. There are various definitions of the essential spectrum for non-self-adjoint operators in the literature, *cf* [14]. We define $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A) := \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(A)$ and recall that $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(A)$ if and only if it is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ consisting of an eigenvalue with finite algebraic multiplicity and with the range of $A - \lambda 1$ being closed.

We also point out some special conventions that we use throughout the paper: $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{R}^*_\pm = (0, \pm\infty)$.

2 Preliminaries

Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$ and by $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding norm, and let Ω be an (arbitrary) open connected set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d \geq 1$.

2.1 The auxiliary Schrödinger operator

In this section we consider the family of one-parameter Schrödinger operators S_μ on $L^2(\Omega)$, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions, generated by the differential expression (2), where μ is a real parameter and $a, b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions satisfying $\langle \mathrm{H1} \rangle$.

The operators S_μ are introduced as follows. Let s_0 be the sesquilinear form on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$s_0(\phi, \psi) := (\nabla\phi, \nabla\psi) + (\phi, b\,\psi)\,, \qquad \phi, \psi \in D(s_0) := \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{s_0}}\,,$$

where

$$\|\cdot\|_{s_0}^2 := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|(b - b_{\min})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\|^2\,.$$

(Note that $D(s_0)$ is continuously and densely embedded in $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)$.) Since the form s_0 is densely defined, closed, symmetric and bounded from below [10, Sec. VII.1.1], it gives rise to a unique self-adjoint operator S_0 which is also bounded from below. Using the representation theorem [15, Chap. VI, Thm. 2.1], we have that

$$S_0\psi = \mathfrak{S}_0\psi\,, \qquad \psi \in D(S_0) = \left\{\psi \in D(s_0) \,|\, \mathfrak{S}_0\psi \in L^2(\Omega)\right\}.$$

Since a is bounded, the quadratic form

$$s_\mu[\psi] := s_0[\psi] + \mu(\psi, a\psi)\,, \qquad \psi \in D(s_\mu) := D(s_0)\,,$$

gives rise to a self-adjoint operator S_μ which is bounded from below and satisfies

$$S_\mu \psi = \mathfrak{S}_\mu \psi \,, \qquad \psi \in D(S_\mu) = D(S_0)\,. \tag{4}$$

Let $\{\gamma_n(\mu)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the non-decreasing sequence of numbers corresponding to the spectral problem of S_μ according to the Rayleigh-Ritz variational formula, *i.e.*

$$\gamma_n(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \sup_{\psi \in L} \frac{s_\mu[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^2} \;\middle|\; L \subseteq D(s_0) \;\&\; \dim(L) = n \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 1. *Assume* $\langle\mathrm{H1}\rangle$. *Each* $\mu \mapsto \gamma_n(\mu)$ *is a continuous function with the uniform asymptotics*

$$\gamma_n(\mu) = \begin{cases} a_{\max}\,\mu + o(\mu) & \textit{as} \quad \mu \to -\infty\,, \\ a_{\min}\,\mu + o(\mu) & \textit{as} \quad \mu \to +\infty\,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The continuity is immediate from the definition (5); indeed, the Lipschitz condition $|\gamma_n(\mu) - \gamma_n(\mu')| \leq \|a\|_\infty |\mu - \mu'|$ holds true. The asymptotics follow from the more general Theorem 4 in Appendix A. □

We recall that $\gamma_n(\mu)$ represents either a discrete eigenvalue of S_μ or the threshold of its essential spectrum, *cf* [8, Sec. 4.5]; $\gamma_1(\mu)$ is the spectral threshold of S_μ, *i.e.*, $\gamma_1(\mu) = \inf \sigma(S_\mu)$.

Let $\gamma_\infty(\mu) := \inf \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu)$ denote the threshold of the essential spectrum of S_μ (if $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu) = \varnothing$, we put $\gamma_\infty(\mu) := +\infty$). The following formula is a generalization (*cf* [10, Sec. X.5]) of a result due to Persson [19]:

$$\gamma_\infty(\mu) = \sup \left\{ \inf_{\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus K)} \frac{s_\mu[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^2} \;\middle|\; K \text{ compact subset of } \Omega \right\}. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 2. *Assume* $\langle\mathrm{H1}\rangle$. $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(\mu)$ *is a continuous function satisfying*

$$\gamma_\infty(\mu) \geq \begin{cases} \gamma_\infty(0) + a_{\max}\,\mu & \textit{if} \quad \mu \leq 0\,, \\ \gamma_\infty(0) + a_{\min}\,\mu & \textit{if} \quad \mu \geq 0\,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The Lipschitz condition $|\gamma_\infty(\mu) - \gamma_\infty(\mu')| \leq \|a\|_\infty |\mu - \mu'|$ and the lower bounds to $\gamma_\infty(\mu)$ are immediate consequences of (6). □

2.2 The damped wave operator

Let us introduce the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := D(s_0) \times L^2(\Omega)$ of vectors $\Psi \equiv \{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$, where $\psi_1 \in D(s_0)$ and $\psi_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and let us equip it with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \equiv \|\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \|\psi_1\|_{s_0}^2 + \|\psi_2\|^2\,.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \times C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Writing $\psi_1 := \psi$ and $\psi_2 := \psi_t$, the problem (1) is equivalent to the first-order system

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_t = A_\alpha \Psi \,, \\ \Psi(0,\cdot) = \Phi \in D(A_\alpha)\,, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where A_α is the operator associated with (3); it is properly defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha\{\psi_1,\psi_2\} &:= \big\{\psi_2, -S_0\psi_1 - \alpha a \psi_2\big\}\,, \\ \{\psi_1,\psi_2\} \in D(A_\alpha) &:= D(S_0)\times D(s_0)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Proposition 3. *Assume* $\langle$H1$\rangle$. *The operator* A_α *is densely defined and closed. Furthermore, it is the generator of a* C_0*-semigroup on* $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The density and closedness follow due to the same properties for S_0, s_0 and the boundedness assumption on a. The C_0-semigroup property is proved in Appendix B (*cf* Theorem 5). ☐

We shall use the following sufficient condition (characterization of the essential spectrum due to Wolf [21]).

Lemma 1. *Assume* $\langle$H1$\rangle$. *If there exists a singular sequence of* A_α *corresponding to* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e., *a sequence* $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq D(A_\alpha)$ *with the properties*

$$\|\Psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1\,, \qquad \Psi_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{w} 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad (A_\alpha - \lambda 1)\Psi_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0 \quad \textit{in} \quad \mathcal{H}\,,$$

then $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)$.

We recall that the existence of singular sequence provides also a necessary condition in the characterization of essential spectrum for self-adjoint operators.

3 Real spectrum of the damped wave operator

Our further approach is based on the following trivial, but essential, observation that links the spectral problems for the operators A_α and S_μ.

Lemma 2. *Assume* $\langle$H1$\rangle$. $\forall\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{(i)} & -(\mu/\alpha)^2 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(S_\mu) & \Longleftrightarrow & \mu/\alpha \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(A_\alpha)\,, \\ \text{(ii)} & -(\mu/\alpha)^2 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu) & \Longrightarrow & \mu/\alpha \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)\,. \end{array}$$

Proof. ad (i). If $-(\mu/\alpha)^2 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(S_\mu)$, then there exists a $\psi \in D(S_0)$ such that $S_\mu\psi = -(\mu/\alpha)^2\psi$ and $\Psi \equiv \{\psi_1,\psi_2\} := \{\psi, (\mu/\alpha)\psi\} \in D(A_\alpha)$ is easily checked to satisfy $A_\alpha\Psi = (\mu/\alpha)\Psi$. Conversely, if $\mu/\alpha \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(A_\alpha)$, then there exist $\psi_1 \in D(S_0)$ and $\psi_2 \in D(s_0)$ satisfying the system $\psi_2 = (\mu/\alpha)\psi_1\,, -S_0\psi_1 - \alpha a\psi_2 = (\mu/\alpha)\psi_2\,,$ which yields $S_\mu\psi_1 = -(\mu/\alpha)^2\psi_1$.

ad (ii). If $-(\mu/\alpha)^2 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu)$, then there exists a sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq D(S_0)$ for which $\|\psi_n\| = 1$, $\psi_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $(S_\mu + (\mu/\alpha)^2 1)\psi_n \to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$.

We shall show that the sequence $\{\Psi_n/\|\Psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $\Psi_n \equiv \{\psi_n^1, \psi_n^2\} := \{\psi_n, (\mu/\alpha)\psi_n\}$, is the singular sequence of Lemma 1 with $\lambda = \mu/\alpha$. First of all, we note that $\|\Psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq \|\psi_n\|_{s_0} \geq \|\psi_n\| = 1$, so the sequence is well-defined, normalized in $\mathcal{H}$, and it is enough to check the weak and strong convergence of Lemma 1 for the sequence $\{\Psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Clearly,

$$(A_\alpha - (\mu/\alpha)1)\Psi_n = \{0, -(S_\mu + (\mu/\alpha)^2 1)\psi_n\} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \{0,0\} \quad \text{in} \quad D(s_0) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

It is also clear that $\psi_n^2 \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, so it remains to show that $\psi_n^1 \equiv \psi_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $D(s_0)$ as $n \to \infty$. The latter can be seen by writing

$$(\phi, \psi_n)_{s_0} = \big(\phi, [S_\mu + (\mu/\alpha)^2 1]\psi_n\big) + \big(\phi, [1 - b_{\min} - \mu a - (\mu/\alpha)^2]\psi_n\big)$$

for every $\phi \in D(s_0)$. ☐

The importance of this result is that it makes it possible to look for real points in the spectrum of A_α by considering the much simpler (since self-adjoint) spectral problem for S_μ. (Although the latter continues to make sense for complex μ, in such a case, however, one is merely trading one non-self-adjoint problem for another.) In view of our definition of essential spectrum, it is clear that, in general, there might be a $\mu/\alpha \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)$ such that $-(\mu/\alpha)^2 \notin \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu)$, and that is why we do not have a proof for the converse implication in (ii) of Lemma 2.

Lemma 2 yields

Proposition 4. *Assume* $\langle$H1$\rangle$. *For any* $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{array}{lrcl}
\text{(i)} & 0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(S_0) & \Longleftrightarrow & 0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(A_\alpha)\,, \\
\text{(ii)} & 0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0) & \Longrightarrow & 0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)\,, \\
\text{(iii)} & \gamma_1(0) < 0 & \Longrightarrow & \big(\sigma(A_\alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^* \neq \varnothing \quad \& \quad \sigma(A_\alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^* \neq \varnothing\big)\,, \\
\text{(iv)} & \gamma_\infty(0) < 0 & \Longrightarrow & \big(\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^* \neq \varnothing \quad \& \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^* \neq \varnothing\big)\,.
\end{array}$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of Lemma 2. Since $\gamma_1(0) < 0$, it follows by Proposition 1 that there exist at least one negative and one positive μ at which the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_1(\mu) \in \sigma(S_\mu)$ intersects the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$. If $\gamma_\infty(0) < 0$, one applies the same argument to γ_∞, with help of Proposition 2. ☐

In (iii) of Proposition 4, since the spectral nature of $\gamma_1(\mu)$, *i.e.*, whether it belongs to the discrete or essential spectrum of S_μ, may vary with μ, we do not know of which spectral nature are the corresponding points in the real spectrum of A_α. This can be decided, however, if S_μ has no negative essential spectrum. Let us define

$$N_\mu := \#\big\{n \in \mathbb{N}^* \;\big|\; \gamma_n(\mu) < \min\{0, \gamma_\infty(\mu)\}\big\}\,,$$

i.e., the number of negative eigenvalues of S_μ below its essential spectrum, counting multiplicities.

Proposition 5. *Assume* $\langle \mathrm{H1} \rangle$*. If* $\gamma_\infty(\mu) \geq 0$ *for all* $\mu \leq 0$ *(respectively all* $\mu \geq 0$*), then there exist at least* N_0 *negative (respectively* N_0 *positive) eigenvalues of* A_α *for all* $\alpha > 0$*.*

Proof. The claim is trivial for $N_0 = 0$. Let us assume $N_0 \geq 1$ and $\gamma_\infty(\mu) \geq 0$ for all $\mu \leq 0$. Under the assumptions, $\gamma_n(0) \in \sigma_\mathrm{d}(S_0) \cap \mathbb{R}_-^*$, $n \in \{1, \ldots, N_0\}$, and one applies the argument of the proof of Proposition 4 to every curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_n(\mu)$. The difference is now that $\gamma_n(\mu)$ cannot become $\gamma_\infty(\mu)$ for $\mu \leq 0$, without crossing the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$, so the intersection corresponds to an eigenvalue. The same argument holds for the case $\mu \geq 0$. $\square$

Proposition 5 is a generalization of [11, Prop. 3.2], where the result was established for both bounded Ω and b; then $\gamma_\infty(\mu) = +\infty$ (*i.e.*, $\sigma_\mathrm{e}(S_\mu) = \varnothing$) and $N_0 < +\infty$. It is worth to notice that, in our more general setting, $\gamma_\infty(\mu)$ can be finite and N_0 infinite.

To state and prove the main result of the paper, we impose on the damping a the following indefinitness condition

$\langle \mathrm{H2} \rangle$ $\quad a_{\min} < 0$ *and* $a_{\max} > 0$.

Theorem 2. *Assume* $\langle \mathrm{H1} \rangle$ *and* $\langle \mathrm{H2} \rangle$*. For all sufficiently large* α*, there is at least one negative and one positive point in the spectrum of* A_α*. Moreover,*

- (i) *if* $\lim_{\mu \to -\infty} \gamma_\infty(\mu)/\mu < a_{\max}$ *(respectively* $\lim_{\mu \to +\infty} \gamma_\infty(\mu)/\mu > a_{\min}$*), then there exists a positive increasing sequence* $\{\alpha_j^-\}_{j=1}^\infty$ *(respectively* $\{\alpha_j^+\}_{j=1}^\infty$*) such that:* $\forall \alpha \in [\alpha_j^-, \alpha_{j+1}^-)$ *there exist at least* j *negative eigenvalues of* A_α *(respectively* $\forall \alpha \in [\alpha_j^+, \alpha_{j+1}^+)$ *there exist at least* j *positive eigenvalues of* A_α*);*
- (ii) *if* $\gamma_\infty(\mu) > 0$ *for all* $\mu \leq 0$ *(respectively for all* $\mu \geq 0$*), then there exists a positive increasing sequence* $\{\alpha_j^-\}_{j=1}^\infty$ *(respectively* $\{\alpha_j^+\}_{j=1}^\infty$*) such that:* $\forall \alpha \in (\alpha_j^-, \alpha_{j+1}^-]$ *there exist at least* $2j + N_0$ *negative eigenvalues of* A_α *(respectively* $\forall \alpha \in (\alpha_j^+, \alpha_{j+1}^+]$ *there exist at least* $2j + N_0$ *positive eigenvalues of* A_α*) and* $\forall \alpha \in (0, \alpha_1^-]$ *there exist at least* N_0 *negative eigenvalues of* A_α *(respectively* $\forall \alpha \in (0, \alpha_1^+]$ *there exist at least* N_0 *positive eigenvalues of* A_α*);*
- (iii) *if* $\gamma_\infty(\mu) < 0$ *for some* $\mu \leq 0$ *(respectively for some* $\mu \geq 0$*), then there is at least one negative (respectively one positive) point in* $\sigma_\mathrm{e}(A_\alpha)$ *for all sufficiently large* α*;*
- (iv) *if* $\gamma_\infty(0) > 0$ *and* $\gamma_\infty(\mu) < 0$ *for some* $\mu \leq 0$ *(respectively for some* $\mu \geq 0$*), then there are at least two negative (respectively two positive) points in* $\sigma_\mathrm{e}(A_\alpha)$ *for all sufficiently large* α*.*

Proof. We shall prove the claims for the case $\mu \geq 0$ (*i.e.*, the results about the positive spectrum of A_α), the case of $\mu \leq 0$ being similar.

If $\gamma_1(0) < 0$, then the first statement holds for all $\alpha > 0$ by Proposition 4. If $\gamma_1(0) > 0$, then the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_1(\mu)$ does not intersect the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ for sufficiently small α, but it does (in fact, at least twice), in view of Proposition 1 and $\langle$H2$\rangle$, if α is large enough; the intersections are positive and the result follows by Lemma 2. If $\gamma_1(0) = 0$, then there will be at least one positive intersection by the same argument.

ad (i). By virtue of Proposition 1, the assumption $\langle$H2$\rangle$ and the condition about the asymptotic behaviour of the threshold of the essential spectrum, it follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there is an $\mu_n > 0$ such that $\gamma_n(\mu) < \min\{0, \gamma_\infty(\mu)\}$ for all $\mu \geq \mu_n$, *i.e.*, $\gamma_n(\mu)$ is a negative discrete eigenvalue of S_μ for all $\mu \geq \mu_n$. Moreover, the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ can be chosen in such a way that the sequence $\{\alpha_n^+\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by $\alpha_n^+ := \mu_n/\sqrt{-\gamma_n(\mu_n)}$ is increasing. However, by Proposition 1, each curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_n(\mu)$ has at least one intersection with the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ for all $\alpha \in [\alpha_n^+, \infty)$. Hence, by Lemma 2, A_α has at least n positive eigenvalues for all $\alpha \in [\alpha_n^+, \alpha_{n+1}^+)$.

ad (ii). The N_0 positive eigenvalues of A_α correspond, by Proposition 5, to N_0 negative eigenvalues of S_0, for all $\alpha > 0$. Let $n_0 > N_0$ be the lowest of all n satisfying $\gamma_n(0) > 0$ (it always exists since $\gamma_\infty(0) > 0$). Let $n \in \{n_0, \ldots, \infty\}$. Since $\gamma_n(0) > 0$, the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_n(\mu)$ does not intersect the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ for α sufficiently small. It follows by Proposition 1 that, by increasing α, it is possible to make these two curves touch for some $\mu > 0$. Denote by $\tilde{\alpha}_{n-n_0+1}^+$ the point for which this happens for the first time. Then, as α increases past this value, there will always exist at least two intersections for positive μ. Hence, by Lemma 2, A_α has at least $2(n - n_0 + 1)$ positive eigenvalues for all $\alpha \in (\tilde{\alpha}_{n-n_0+1}^+, \infty)$. Since γ_n is below γ_{n+1}, it follows that the sequence $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j^+\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is not decreasing. In fact, there exists an increasing subsequence $\{\alpha_j^+\}_{j=1}^\infty$ because each negative $\gamma_n(\mu)$ represents an eigenvalue of *finite* multiplicity.

ad (iii). Given a $\mu \geq 0$ such that $\gamma_\infty(\mu) < 0$, we define $\alpha_0 := \mu/\sqrt{-\gamma_\infty(\mu)}$ and it follows by Proposition 2 that there is at least one positive intersection of $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(\mu)$ with the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ for every $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \infty)$. The result then follows by Lemma 2.

ad (iv). Since $\gamma_\infty(0) > 0$, the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(\mu)$ does not intersect the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ for α sufficiently small. However, since there is a $\mu > 0$ such that $\gamma_\infty(\mu) < 0$, it follows that, by increasing α, it is possible to make these two curves touch for some $\mu > 0$. Denote by α_0 the point for which this happens for the first time. Then, by Proposition 2, as α increases past this value, there will always exist at least two intersections for positive μ. Hence, by Lemma 2, A_α has at least two positive points in the its essential spectrum for all $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \infty)$. $\square$

Remarks. Assume $\langle$H2$\rangle$ and $\gamma_\infty(\mu) > 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and denote by $\lambda(\alpha)$ a real eigenvalue of A_α, as described in the proof of the part (ii) of Theorem 2. Following [11], it is possible to give some more properties of $\lambda(\alpha)$.

For instance, the following properties are clear from the proof of Proposition 5 and the part (ii) of Theorem 2 (*cf* also [11, proof of Prop. 3.8]): If $\lambda(\alpha) < 0$

(respectively $\lambda(\alpha) > 0$) corresponds to a negative eigenvalue of S_0, the function $\alpha \mapsto \lambda(\alpha)$ is decreasing (respectively increasing) and $\lambda(\alpha) \to -\infty$ (respectively $+\infty$) as $\alpha \to +\infty$. In the case of the two negative (respectively positive) eigenvalues, corresponding to some positive $\gamma_n(0)$, one of these eigenvalues is increasing (respectively decreasing) to zero, while the other is decreasing to $-\infty$ (respectively increasing to $+\infty$).

Also, since γ_1 remains below all other curves γ_n and the eigenfunction of S_μ corresponding to $\gamma_1(\mu) < 0$ can be chosen to be positive, we get the following characterization of the eigenfunctions of A_α corresponding to its extreme real eigenvalues (*cf* [11, Thm. 3.9]): If $N_0 > 0$, then the eigenfunction $\Psi \equiv \{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ corresponding to the smallest negative (respectively largest positive) eigenvalue of A_α can be chosen in such a way that $\psi_1(x) > 0$ and $\psi_2(x) < 0$ (respectively $\psi_2(x) > 0$) for all $x \in \Omega$. If $N_0 = 0$ and A_α has negative (respectively positive) eigenvalues (this always happens for α sufficiently large), then the eigenfunctions $\Psi \equiv \{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ corresponding to the largest or the smallest of these eigenvalues can be chosen in such a way that $\psi_1(x) > 0$ and $\psi_2(x) < 0$ (respectively $\psi_2(x) > 0$) for all $x \in \Omega$.

If both Ω and b are bounded, Theorem 2 reduces to [11, Thm. 3.6]. Actually, all the complexities of the present theorem are due to the possible presence of essential spectrum in our more general setting. The question of essential spectrum is discussed in more details in the following section.

4 More on the essential spectrum

All the spectral results of the previous section are based on proving an intersection of the functions γ_n or γ_∞ with a parabola. The results are qualitative since we have used just the continuity and asymptotic properties of the functions (Propositions 1 and 2). The purpose of this section is twofold. Firstly, we use known properties of γ_∞ in the case where a converges to a fixed value at infinity in order to state more precise results about the real essential spectrum of A_α. Secondly, on characteristic examples, we discuss the nature of the real spectrum of A_α as related to the form of the domain Ω or the behaviour of the potential b.

4.1 Asymptotically constant damping

If $\gamma_n(\mu)$ is a discrete eigenvalue of S_μ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and a is not constant, then it is hard to say anything about the behaviour of the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_n(\mu)$, apart from small values of the parameter μ (via perturbation techniques) or large μ (*cf* Proposition 1). On the other hand, the behaviour of the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(\mu)$ is expected to be usually simple because, heuristically speaking, the essential spectrum comes from “what happens very far away” only. The above statement is made precise in context of the following result, which can be established by means of the decomposition principle [10, Chap. X].

Proposition 6. *Assume* ⟨H1⟩. *If* Ω *is unbounded and the limit*

$$a_\infty := \lim_{|x|\to\infty,\, x\in\Omega} a(x)$$

exists, then

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu) = \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0) + a_\infty\, \mu \, .$$

In particular, under the assumptions of Proposition 6, the curve $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(\mu)$ is linear (if $\gamma_\infty(0) = +\infty$, then $\gamma_\infty(\mu) = +\infty$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$). Applications of this result to Theorem 2 are obvious. For instance, the sufficient conditions from the case (i) of Theorem 2 are satisfied if $a_\infty < a_{\max}$ (respectively $a_\infty > a_{\min}$). Also, it follows from the case (iii) of Theorem 2 that there is always a negative (respectively positive), point in $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)$ provided $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0) \neq \varnothing$ and $a_\infty > 0$ (respectively $a_\infty < 0$). Actually, a stronger result holds if the essential spectrum of S_0 is an infinite interval:

Proposition 7. *Assume the hypotheses of* Proposition 6. *Suppose also that* $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0) = [\gamma_\infty(0), \infty)$ *and* $\delta_\alpha := \alpha^2 a_\infty^2 - 4\gamma_\infty(0) \geq 0$. *Then*

$$\left[\tfrac{1}{2}\left(-\alpha a_\infty - \sqrt{\delta_\alpha}\right), \tfrac{1}{2}\left(-\alpha a_\infty + \sqrt{\delta_\alpha}\right)\right] \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)\, .$$

Proof. Combining the assumption $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0) = [\gamma_\infty(0), \infty)$, Proposition 6 and Lemma 2, we see that every point between the intersections (if they exist) of the parabola $\mu \mapsto -(\mu/\alpha)^2$ with the line $\mu \mapsto \gamma_\infty(0) + a_\infty \mu$ lies in the essential spectrum of A_α. The claim then follows by solving the corresponding quadratic equation (in particular, the condition $\delta_\alpha \geq 0$ ensures its solvability). $\square$

4.2 Examples

In this subsection the significant features of Ω or b as regards $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)$ are discussed. Since the spectrum of A_α is purely discrete whenever Ω is bounded, we restrict ourselves to unbounded Ω here. We also assume that the hypotheses of Proposition 6 hold true, in particular, a_∞ denotes the asymptotic value of the damping a.

4.2.1 Different types of domains

We adopt the following classification of domains in Euclidean space due to Glazman [13, § 49] (see also [10, Sec. X.6.1]): Ω is *quasi-conical* if it contains arbitrarily large balls; Ω is *quasi-cylindrical* if it is not quasi-conical but contains a sequence of identical disjoint balls; Ω is *quasi-bounded* if it is neither quasi-conical nor quasi-cylindrical. For simplicity, let us assume that $b = 0$, so that S_0 is just the Dirichlet Laplacian in Ω.

Quasi-bounded domains If the boundary of Ω is sufficiently smooth (*cf* [10, Sec. X.6.1] for precise conditions in terms of capacity), Molčanov's criterion [17] implies that the spectrum of S_0 is purely discrete. Then the same is true for the operator A_α, *i.e.*, $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha) = \varnothing$. If the hypothesis $\langle \mathrm{H2} \rangle$ holds true, the part (ii) of Theorem 2 claims that there are always negative and positive eigenvalues of A_α for α sufficiently large. This situation is analogous to the bounded case [11].

Quasi-conical domains The spectrum of S_0 is purely essential and equals the interval $[0, \infty)$. By Propositions 6 and 1, $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu) = [a_\infty \mu, \infty)$, and there are discrete eigenvalues below the essential spectrum for sufficiently large positive μ if $a_\infty > a_{\min}$ or sufficiently large negative μ if $a_\infty < a_{\max}$. By Proposition 7,

$$\left[-\tfrac{\alpha}{2}\left(|a_\infty| + a_\infty\right), \tfrac{\alpha}{2}\left(|a_\infty| - a_\infty\right)\right] \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(A_\alpha)\,,$$

i.e., A_α has a real essential spectrum for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Information about the real point spectrum of A_α is contained in the part (i) of Theorem 2.

Quasi-cylindrical domains Since the precise location of $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_0)$ is difficult in this situation (a detailed analysis of this problem may be found in Glazman's book [13]), we shall rather illustrate the nature of $\sigma(A_\alpha)$ in the particular case of tubes.

Let Ω be a tubular neighbourhood of radius $r > 0$ about an infinite curve in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d \geq 2$, and assume that it does not overlap itself and that the condition $\|\kappa_1\|_\infty\, r < 1$ holds true, where κ_1 denotes the first curvature of the reference curve. If the tube is asymptotically straight in the sense that κ_1 vanishes at infinity, it was shown in [7] that the essential spectrum of S_0 is the interval $[\nu_1, \infty)$, where $\nu_1 > 0$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian in the $(d-1)$-dimensional ball of radius r. (Furthermore, there exists at least one discrete eigenvalue in $(0, \nu_1)$ whenever $\kappa_1 \neq 0$.) It follows that the assumptions of Proposition 7 are satisfied if $a_\infty \neq 0$ and α is sufficiently large. That is, depending on the sign of a_∞, A_α always has an open interval in its negative or positive essential spectrum for α sufficiently large. An information about the real point spectrum of A_α is contained in the part (i) or (ii) of Theorem 2.

4.2.2 The behaviour of the potential

For simplicity, let us assume that Ω is the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ (a special case of quasi-conical domains). Since the potential b is assumed to be locally bounded, the essential spectrum of S_0 will depend on the behaviour of b at infinity only. Assuming

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} b(x) = b_\infty \in [b_{\min}, +\infty]\,,$$

we distinguish two particular behaviours:

$\underline{b_\infty = +\infty}$ It is easy to see that $\gamma_\infty(0) = +\infty$ and the spectrum of S_0 is therefore purely discrete. Consequently, the spectrum of A_α is purely discrete as well and the situation is analogous with the bounded case [11].

$\underline{b_\infty < +\infty}$ The essential spectrum of S_0 is the interval $[b_\infty, \infty)$ and there might be discrete eigenvalues in $[b_{\min}, b_\infty)$ if $b_{\min} < b_\infty$. By Proposition 6, we have $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(S_\mu) = [b_\infty + a_\infty \mu, \infty)$, and this gives an information about the real essential (respectively real point) spectrum of A_α through Proposition 7 (respectively through the part (i) or (ii) of Theorem 2).

5 Remarks and open questions

In a similar way to what was done in [11], the results given here can be used to obtain information about semilinear wave equations of the form

$$u_{tt} + f(x, u, u_t) = \Delta u, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{9}$$

In particular, the stability of stationary solutions of (9) can be related to that of solutions of the parabolic equation

$$u_t + f(x, u, 0) = \Delta u, \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{10}$$

A typical example of such a result would be the following, the proof of which follows in the same way as that of the corresponding result in [11] – note that equations (9) and (10) have the same set of stationary solutions.

Theorem 3. *Let w be a stationary solution of equation* (9)*. Then if w is linearly unstable when considered as a stationary solution of the parabolic equation* (10)*, it is also linearly unstable as a stationary solution of* (9)*.*

More specific results in the spirit of those in [11] may be given in the case of quasi-bounded domains, for instance.

Regarding stability issues, and as in the bounded case, it would be of interest to know if there are situations when the trivial solution of (1) remains (asymptotically) stable for small α when a is allowed to become negative. In the former case, the problem remains open in dimension higher than one, but there are several results for the one dimensional problem showing that this is still possible [2, 12]. However, even in the case of the real line, the situation for unbounded domains seems to be more difficult due to the presence of essential spectrum. Also, the proof of stability in the case of an interval used information about the asymptotic behaviour of the spectrum which is not available in the unbounded case.

Finally, let us remark that it is possible to adapt the approach of the present paper to damped wave equations with a more general elliptic operator instead of S_0 considered here.

A Eigenvalue asymptotics

In this appendix we prove the asymptotics of Proposition 1. We proceed in a greater generality by admitting local singularities of the function b and that a is bounded just from below. That is, the assumption $\langle \mathrm{H1} \rangle$ is replaced by

$\langle \mathrm{H1}' \rangle$ *$a, b \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ and*

(a) *$a_{\min} := \operatorname{ess\,inf} a > -\infty$,*

(b) *$b = b_1 + b_2$ where $b_1 \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, $b_{1,\min} := \operatorname{ess\,inf} b_1 > -\infty$ and $b_2 \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p \in [d, \infty]$.*

Here we restrict ourselves to $\mu \geq 0$ (the case of $\mu \leq 0$ being included if $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$) and define the realization S_μ of the differential expression (2) as the operator associated with the sesquilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} s_\mu(\phi, \psi) &:= (\nabla\phi, \nabla\psi) + \mu\,(\phi, a\psi) + (\phi, b\psi)\,, \\ \phi, \psi \in D(s_\mu) &:= \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{s_\mu}}\,, \\ \|\cdot\|_{s_\mu}^2 &:= \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \big\|\big[\mu\,(a - a_{\min}) + b_1 - b_{1,\min} + b_2^+\big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \big\|^2\,, \end{aligned}$$

where b_2^+ denotes the positive part of b_2. The operator S_μ is self-adjoint and bounded from below because the form s_μ is densely defined, closed, symmetric and bounded from below [10, Sec. VII.1.1]. We also verify that

$$S_\mu\psi = \mathfrak{S}_\mu\psi\,, \qquad \psi \in D(S_\mu) = \big\{\psi \in D(s_\mu) \,|\, \mathfrak{S}_\mu\psi \in L^2(\Omega)\big\}\,. \tag{11}$$

It is clear that the operators verifying (4) or (11) coincide provided $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $b_2 = 0$.

We recall the definition (5) of the sequence $\{\gamma_n(\mu)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ and prove

Theorem 4. *Assume $\langle \mathrm{H1}' \rangle$. One has*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \qquad \lim_{\mu\to+\infty} \frac{\gamma_n(\mu)}{\mu} = a_{\min}\,.$$

Proof. Since $S_\mu \geq (\inf\sigma(S_0) + \mu\, a_{\min})1$, we have $\lim_{\mu\to+\infty} \gamma_n(\mu)/\mu \geq a_{\min}$ by the minimax principle. To obtain the opposite estimate, we are inspired by [1, proof of Thm. 2.2]. Let M_a denote the maximal operator of multiplication by a, *i.e.*, $M_a\psi := a\psi$, $\psi \in D(M_a) := \{\psi \in L^2(\Omega) |\, a\psi \in L^2(\Omega)\}$. The spectrum of M_a is purely essential and equal to the essential range of the generated function a. In particular, $a_{\min} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}(M_a)$. By the spectral theorem, there exists a sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subseteq D(M_a)$ orthonormalized in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\|(M_a - a_{\min}1)\psi_j\| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $D(M_a)$, it follows that there is also a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subseteq C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) - \delta_{ij} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \big(\varphi_i, (M_a - a_{\min}1)\varphi_j\big) \longrightarrow 0$$

as $i, j \to \infty$. Now, given $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, choose $k = k(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that

$$A(N) - v_{\min}\,1 \leq N^{-1}1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad B(N) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\,1\,,$$

where $A(N)$, respectively $B(N)$, is the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix with entries $(\varphi_{i+k}, a\varphi_{j+k})$, respectively $(\varphi_{i+k}, \varphi_{j+k})$, for $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. In view of (5) and since $\operatorname{span}\{\varphi_{j+k}\}_{j=1}^{N}$ is an N-dimensional subspace of $D(s_\mu)$, one has $\gamma_n(\mu) \le c_n(\mu, N)$ for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, where $\{c_n(\mu, N)\}_{n=1}^{N}$ are the eigenvalues (written in increasing order and repeated according to multiplicity) of the matrix $C(\mu, N) \equiv \big(C_{ij}(\mu, N)\big)_{i,j=1}^{N}$ defined by $C_{ij}(\mu, N) := s_\mu(\varphi_{i+k}, \varphi_{j+k})$. However, it is easy to see that

$$C(\mu, N) \le \mu \left(a_{\min} + N^{-1}\right) 1 + d(N)\, 1\,,$$

where $d(N)$ denotes the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\big((\nabla\varphi_{i+k}, \nabla\varphi_{j+k}) + (\varphi_{i+k}, b\varphi_{j+k})\big)_{i,j=1}^{N}\,.$$

Hence, for all $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\lim_{\mu\to+\infty} \frac{\gamma_n(\mu)}{\mu} \le a_{\min} + N^{-1}$$

with N being arbitrarily large. $\square$

Remark. The asymptotic behaviour of Theorem 4 for both bounded Ω and a was established in [3, Corol. 2.6] (see also [4, proof of Lemma 2.1] and [5]).

B The semigroup property

Unable to find a suitable reference, in this appendix we prove that the operator A_α is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$. Our strategy is to modify the approach of [22, Sec. XIV.3] (see also [18, Sec. 7.4]), where the property was shown for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, $b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $a = 0$.

We will need some preliminaries. Firstly, we prove the following solvability result, which is well known if b and Ω were bounded.

Lemma 3. *Assume* $\langle$H1$\rangle$. *Let* $\eta \in (0, \eta_\alpha)$ *with* $\eta_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\big(1 + \alpha|a_{\min}| + |b_{\min}|\big)^{-1}$. *For any* $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$, *there is a unique function* $\psi \in D(S_0)$ *satisfying*

$$\big(1 + \eta\, \alpha a + \eta^2 S_0\big)\psi = \phi\,. \tag{12}$$

Proof. We are inspired by [16, Remark 2.3.2]. First of all, if $\eta \in (0, \eta_\alpha)$, then we can establish the *a priori* bound

$$\|\psi\|_{s_0} \le (\sqrt{2}\,\eta)^{-1}\, \|\phi\|\,. \tag{13}$$

Let $\{\Omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an exhaustion sequence of Ω (*i.e.* each Ω_n is a bounded open set such that $\Omega_n \subset\subset \Omega_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Omega_n = \Omega$). Let $\psi_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega_n)$ be the solution of the boundary problem (12) restricted to Ω_n; the existence and uniqueness of such solutions is guaranteed, *e.g.*, by [16, Sec. II.3]. We extend ψ_n to the whole Ω by taking it to be zero outside Ω_n, and we retain the same notation ψ_n for this extension. All the ψ_n are elements of $D(s_0)$ and satisfy the *a priori* bound (13). Consequently, $\{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $D(s_0)$ and there exists a subsequence $\{\psi_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges weakly in $D(s_0)$ to some function ψ. This function is the desired solution. Indeed, the functions ψ_n satisfy the integral identities

$$(\varphi,\psi_n) + \eta\,(\varphi,\alpha a\psi_n) + \eta^2(\varphi,S_0\psi_n) = (\varphi,\phi) \tag{14}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_n)$. In (14), we fix some $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_n)$, and then take the limit in the subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ chosen above, assuming that $n_k \geq n$. In the limit we obtain (14) for ψ with the function φ that we took. Since these φ form a dense set in $D(s_0)$, ψ satisfies the identity (14) for all $\varphi \in D(s_0)$, hence it is a generalized solution of the problem (12). By the uniqueness, which is guaranteed by the bound (13), the whole sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ will converge in this way to the solution $\psi \in D(s_0)$. The property $\psi \in D(S_0)$ follows from (12) and the facts that $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and a is bounded. ☐

Now we can prove

Lemma 4. *Assume* ⟨H1⟩. *Let* $\Phi \equiv \{\phi_1,\phi_2\} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)\times C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ *and* $\eta \in (0,\eta_\alpha)$. *Then the equation*

$$\Psi - \eta\,A_\alpha\Psi = \Phi \tag{15}$$

has a unique solution $\Psi \equiv \{\psi_1,\psi_2\} \in D(A_\alpha)$. *Moreover,*

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq (1-\eta/\eta_\alpha)^{-1}\,\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}}\,.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_1,\varphi_2 \in D(S_0)$ be the respective solutions of

$$\begin{aligned}\big(1+\eta\,\alpha a+\eta^2 S_0\big)\varphi_1 &= \phi_1\,,\\ \big(1+\eta\,\alpha a+\eta^2 S_0\big)\varphi_2 &= \alpha a\phi_1+\phi_2\,.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\psi_1 := \varphi_1+\eta\varphi_2\,, \qquad \psi_2 := -(\alpha a+\eta\,S_0)\varphi_1+\varphi_2\,.$$

It is easy to check that $\Psi := \{\psi_1,\psi_2\} \in D(S_0)\times L^2(\Omega)$ and it satisfies the equation (15), *i.e.*,

$$\psi_1-\eta\,\psi_2 = \phi_1\,, \qquad \eta\,S_0\psi_1+\big(1+\eta\,\alpha a\big)\psi_2 = \phi_2\,.$$

Actually, it follows from the first of the last two equations that $\psi_2 \in D(s_0)$, so $\Psi \in D(A_\alpha)$ and it is the desired solution. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\equiv \|\phi_1\|_{s_0}^2+\|\phi_2\|^2\\ &= \|\psi_1-\eta\,\psi_2\|_{s_0}^2+\|\eta\,S_0\psi_1+\big(1+\eta\,\alpha a\big)\psi_2\|^2\\ &\geq \|\psi_1\|_{s_0}^2+\|\psi_2\|^2+2\eta\,(\psi_2,\alpha a\psi_2)\\ &\quad -2\eta\,\mathrm{Re}\big(\psi_2,[-\Delta+b-b_{\min}+1]\psi_1\big)+2\eta\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi_2,S_0\psi_1)\\ &\geq \|\psi_1\|_{s_0}^2+\|\psi_2\|^2+2\eta\,\alpha a_{\min}\,\|\psi_2\|^2-\eta\,\big(\|\psi_2\|^2+|1-b_{\min}|\,\|\psi_1\|^2\big)\\ &\geq \big(1-\eta\,\big[2+2\alpha|a_{\min}|+|b_{\min}|\big]\big)\,\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\\ &\geq (1-\eta/\eta_\alpha)\,\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\end{aligned}$$

and $(1-|x|) \geq (1-|x|)^2$ for $|x| \leq 1$. ☐

Lemma 4 shows that the range of the operator $1 - \eta A_\alpha$ contains $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \times C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ for all $\eta \in (0, \eta_\alpha)$. Since A_α is closed (*cf* Proposition 3), the range of $1 - \eta A_\alpha$ is all of $\mathcal{H}$ and we have

Corollary 1. *Assume* ⟨H1⟩*. For every* $\eta \in (0, \eta_\alpha)$ *and* $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ *the equation* (15) *has a unique solution* $\Psi \in D(A_\alpha)$ *and* $\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq (1 - \eta/\eta_\alpha)^{-1} \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Now we are ready to prove

Theorem 5. *Assume* ⟨H1⟩*. The operator* A_α *is the infinitesimal generator of a* C_0*-semigroup* U_α *on* $\mathcal{H}$*, satisfying*

$$\forall t \in (0, \infty), \qquad \|U_\alpha(t)\| \leq e^{\omega_\alpha t} \tag{16}$$

with $\omega_\alpha := 2\big(1 + \alpha|a_{\min}| + |b_{\min}|\big)$.

Proof. The domain of A_α is clearly dense in $\mathcal{H}$ (*cf* Proposition 3). ¿From Corollary 1 it follows that $(z - A_\alpha)^{-1}$ exists for all $z \in (\omega_\alpha, \infty)$, $\omega_\alpha = \eta_\alpha^{-1}$, and satisfies

$$\big\|(z - A_\alpha)^{-1}\big\| \leq (z - \omega_\alpha)^{-1} \qquad \text{for} \quad z > \omega_\alpha \,.$$

The claim then follows by [18, Thm. 1.5.3]. ☐

Remark. Since a is bounded, the proof could also be done by showing that A_0 is an infinitesimal generator of a C_0-group U_0 and applying [18, Thm 1.1 of Chap. 3].

As an application of Theorem 5, one has the following

Corollary 2. *Assume* ⟨H1⟩*. Let* $\phi_1 \in D(S_0)$ *and* $\phi_2 \in D(s_0)$*. Then there exists a unique* $t \mapsto \psi(t, \cdot) \in C^0\big([0,\infty); D(S_0)\big) \cap C^1\big([0,\infty); D(s_0)\big) \cap C^2\big([0,\infty); L^2(\Omega)\big)$ *satisfying the initial value problem* (1).

Proof. Let U_α be the semigroup generated by A_α and set

$$\big\{\psi_1(t,x), \psi_2(t,x)\big\} := U_\alpha(t) \,\big\{\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x)\big\} \,.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\{\psi_1(t,\cdot), \psi_2(t,\cdot)\} = A_\alpha\big\{\psi_1(t,\cdot), \psi_2(t,\cdot)\big\} = \big\{\psi_2(t,\cdot), -S_0\psi_1(t,\cdot) - \alpha a \psi_2(t,\cdot)\big\}$$

and ψ_1 is the desired solution. ☐

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by FCT/POCTI/FEDER, Portugal, and the AS CR project K1010104.

References

[1] W. Allegretto and A. B. Mingarelli, *Boundary problems of the second order with an indefinite weight-function*, J. reine angew. Math. **398** (1989), 1–24.

[2] A. Benaddi and B. Rao, *Energy decay rate of wave equations with indefinite damping*, J. Differential Equations **161** (2000), 337–357.

[3] P. A. Binding and P. J. Browne, *Spectral properties of two parameter eigenvalue problems II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **106A** (1987), 39–51.

[4] ______, *Eigencurves for two-parameter selfadjoint ordinary differential equations of even order*, J. Differential Equations **79** (1989), 289–303.

[5] P. A. Binding, P. J. Browne, and Y. X Huang, *On eigencurves of elliptic boundary value problems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **118A** (1991), 161–171.

[6] G. Chen, S. A. Fulling, F. J. Narcowich, and S. Sun, *Exponential decay of energy of evolution equations with locally distributed damping*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **51** (1991), 266–301.

[7] B. Chenaud, P. Duclos, P. Freitas, and D. Krejčiřík, *Geometrically induced discrete spectrum in curved tubes*, submitted; preprint on http://-www.math.kth.se/spect/preprints/.

[8] E. B. Davies, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Camb. Univ Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[9] B. R. Duffy, P. Freitas, and M. Grinfeld, *Memory driven instability in a diffusion process*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2002), 1090–1106.

[10] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.

[11] P. Freitas, *On some eigenvalue problems related to the wave equation with indefinite damping*, J. Differential Equations **127** (1996), no. 1, 320–335.

[12] P. Freitas and E. Zuazua, *Stability results for the wave equation with indefinite damping*, J. Differential Equations **132** (1996), 338–352.

[13] I. M. Glazman, *Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators*, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1965.

[14] K. Gustafson and J. Weidmann, *On the essential spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **25** (1969), 121–127.

[15] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[16] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The boundary value problems of mathematical physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[17] A. M. Molčanov, *On conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of self-adjoint differential equations of the second order*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **2** (1953), 169–199.

[18] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer, New York, 1983.

[19] A. Persson, *Bounds for the discrete part of the spectrum of a semi-bounded Schrödinger operator*, Math. Scand. **8** (1960), 143–153.

[20] J. Solà-Morales, *Global instability and essential spectrum in semilinear evolution equations*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), no. 1, 125–131.

[21] F. Wolf, *On the essential spectrum of partial differential boundary problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 211–228.

[22] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.

[23] E. Zuazua, *Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with localized damping in unbounded domains*, J. Math. pures et appl. **70** (1991), 513–529.